UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,046	06/15/2007	Taku Hirayama	SHIGA7.055APC	5635
	7590 09/26/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			JOHNSON, CONNIE P	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/590,046	HIRAYAMA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CONNIE P. JOHNSON	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceedable and any objection to the content of the co	r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the B drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/12/08.6/24/08.4/8/08 and 8/17/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,046 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Claim Status

2. Claims 1-15 are presented.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watanabe et al., JP 09-160246.

Watanabe teaches a chemically amplified resist composition comprising a compound which has two or more phenolic hydroxyl groups, a photoacid generator and a nitrogen-containing compound (page 10, [0015] and page 25, [0074]). The compound comprising a polyhydric phenol compound with two or more phenolic hydroxyl groups, has a molecular weight of 100 to 1,000 (page 10, [0015]) and has a molecular weight polydispersity of 1.0-1.5 (page 17, [0046]). Compound [DRR10] meets the limitations of a polyhydric phenol compound with two or more phenolic hydroxyl groups as in formula (II) in claim 2 of the present invention (page 39). The polyhydric phenol compounds are protected with acid dissociable groups (page 17, [0046]). The polyhydric phenol

Page 3

compound also has 60% or less of unprotected phenolic hydroxyl groups (page 3, [claim 2]). The recitation in claim 1, "an ability to form an amorphous film using a spin coating method," is intended use. Since Watanabe teaches the resist composition comprising a compound with two or more phenolic hydroxyl groups, a photoacid generator and a nitrogen-containing compound, then the composition of Watanabe would be capable of forming an amorphous film using a spin coating method (MPEP 2106). Watanabe also teaches a method of patterning the resist comprising forming a resist film, prebaking, exposing, post baking and developing the resist with an alkaline solution (page 27, [0081]).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8, 10, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al., JP 09-160246 in view of Adegawa et al., JP 2002-312055.

Watanabe teaches a chemically amplified resist composition comprising a compound which has two or more phenolic hydroxyl groups, a photoacid generator and a nitrogen-containing compound (page 10, [0015] and page 25, [0074]). The compound comprising a polyhydric phenol compound with two or more phenolic hydroxyl groups,

has a molecular weight of 100 to 1,000 (page 10, [0015]) and has a molecular weight polydispersity of 1.0-1.5 (page 17, [0046]). Compound [DRR10] meets the limitations of a polyhydric phenol compound with two or more phenolic hydroxyl groups as in formula (II) in claim 2 of the present invention (page 39). The polyhydric phenol compounds are protected with acid dissociable groups (page 17, [0046]). Watanabe does not teach a polyhydric phenol with the structure of formula 4 in claim 10.

Adegawa teaches a positive resist composition comprising a resin with a structure as in formula (4) of claim 10 in the present invention (page 2, formula 1). The resin comprises a compound with two or more phenolic hydroxyl groups and a molecular weight of 1,000 or less (page 49, [0243]). The composition also comprises a nitrogen-containing compound (page 17, [0081]). Adegawa also teaches the composition comprises a photoacid generator (page 11, [0030]). It would have been obvious to use the polyhydric phenol compound in the resist composition of Watanabe because Adegawa teaches resist compositions comprising a low-molecular weight polyhydric phenol with a structure of formula 1 have improved sensitivity and high resolving power (page 6, [0005]).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CONNIE P. JOHNSON whose telephone number is (571)272-7758. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-4:00pm Monday thru Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,046 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Connie P. Johnson Examiner Art Unit 1795

/Cynthia H Kelly/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795